RIVISTA ITALIANA DI INFORMATICA E DIRITTO
PERIODICO INTERNAZIONALE DEL CNR-IGSG
ISSN 2704-7318

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

The Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement of Rivista italiana di informatica e diritto follows the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) guidelines on ethics practices in scholarly publications. It is expected of Authors, Reviewers, Editorial Team and Publisher that they follow the guidelines on ethical behaviour laid down in this document.

Duties of Editorial team (Editors, Scientific Editorial Board, Editorial Staff)

Publication decisions

The Editors ensure that all submitted manuscripts being considered for publication undergo double blind peer-review.
The Editors, supported by the Scientific Editorial Board, preliminarily examine the submitted manuscripts to assess their relevance to the scientific disciplines of interest to the Journal and, in case of positive evaluation, assign them to two reviewers expert in the field, according to the criteria of competence and rotation, so as to ensure adequate evaluation of the submitted manuscripts for the purpose of publication.
The Editors are responsible for the decision to publish manuscripts submitted to the Journal that pass the reviewers' evaluation positively.
The Editors commit to clearly identify any papers that have been invited for publication; such papers are not submitted for reviewers' evaluation.
The Editors will respect the rules into force regarding copyright infringement, plagiarism and defamation.

Fairness and non-discrimination

Submitted manuscripts are evaluated exclusively on the basis of their academic merit and its relevance to the Journal's scope, without regard to the Authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, citizenship, religious belief, political philosophy or institutional affiliation.

Confidentiality and conflict of interest

Editors, Scientific Editorial Board members and Editorial Staff will not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author and the reviewers.
Editorial Team will not use unpublished information disclosed in a submitted manuscript for their own research purposes without the Authors' explicit written consent.

Duties of Reviewers

Contribution to editorial decisions

Peer review assists editors in making editorial decisions and, through editorial communications with authors, may assist Authors in improving their manuscripts.

Promptness

Any invited Reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should immediately notify the Editors and decline the invitation to review.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review are confidential documents and must be treated as such; they must not be shown to or discussed with others than the Editors, the Editorial Staff and the Reviewers.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively and observations formulated clearly with supporting arguments so that Authors can use them for improving the manuscript. Personal criticism of the Authors is inappropriate.

Acknowledgement of sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the Authors. A Reviewer should also notify the Editors of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other manuscript (published or unpublished) of which they have personal knowledge.

Conflict of interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for the Reviewer's personal advantage.
Any invited Reviewer who has conflict of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the Authors (in case the Authors although unknown, could be identified by deduction), companies or institutions connected to the manuscript and the work described therein should decline the invitation to review so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.
Unpublished material disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a Reviewer's own research without the explicit written consent of the Authors.

Duties of Authors

Originality and plagiarism

Authors should ensure that they have written and submit only entirely original works, and not submitted at the same time to other journal or volumes, unless expressly allowed by the Editors.
Authors should ensure, if they have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the work reported in the manuscript should also be cited.
Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Multiple, duplicate, redundant or concurrent submission/publication

Papers describing essentially the same research should not be published in more than one journal or primary publication. Hence, Authors should not submit for consideration a manuscript that has already been published in another journal. Submission of a manuscript concurrently to more than one journal is unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Authorship of the manuscript

The people listed as Authors of a submitted manuscript shall only be those individuals who have made a significant contribution to the reported research and/or to the drafting of the paper itself.
All persons who made substantial contributions to the work reported in the manuscript should be acknowledged.
The corresponding Author should ensure that all appropriate coauthors are included in the Authors list and verify that all coauthors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to its submission for publication.

Conflict of interest

Authors should disclose any conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or their interpretation in the manuscript.
All sources of financial support for the work should be disclosed.

Fundamental errors in published works

When Authors discover significant errors or inaccuracies in their own published work, it is their obligation to promptly notify the Journal's Editors or Publisher and cooperate with them to either correct the paper in the form of an erratum or to retract the paper. If the Editors or Publisher learns from a third party that a published work contains a significant error or inaccuracy, then it is the Authors' obligation to promptly correct or retract the paper or provide evidence to the Journal Editors of the correctness of the paper.

Data access and retention

Authors may be asked to provide the raw data of their study together with the manuscript for editorial review and should be prepared to make the data publicly available if practicable.

Duties of the Publisher

Handling of unethical publishing behaviour

In cases of alleged or proven scientific misconduct, fraudulent publication or plagiarism, the Publisher, in close collaboration with the Editors, will take all appropriate measures to clarify the situation and to amend the article in question. This includes the prompt publication of an erratum, clarification or, in the most severe case, the retraction of the affected work. The Publisher, together with the Editors, shall take reasonable steps to identify and prevent the publication of papers where research misconduct has occurred, and under no circumstances encourage such misconduct or knowingly allow such misconduct to take place.

Access to Journal content

The Publisher is committed to the permanent availability and preservation of scholarly research and ensures accessibility by partnering with organizations and maintaining its own digital archive.